# **Temporal Information Extraction**

#### Xiao Ling University of Washington Joint work with Dan Weld

## Motivation

• Traditional Relation Extraction





#### This talk: Temporal Information Extraction

• Input: raw text, e.g.

Steve Jobs revealed the iPhone in 2007.

• Output:

events annotated with bounds on endpoints <pre

\* This work focuses on one sentence at a time

# Outline

- Motivation
- Previous Work
- TIE
- Experiments
- Conclusion

### TempEval [Verhagen et al, 2007]

# In most countries of the world **recovery** from the Great Depression began between late **1931**...



#### TempEval [Verhagen et al, 2007]

# In most countries of the world **recovery** from the Great Depression began between late **1931**...



#### TempEval [Verhagen et al, 2007]

# In most countries of the world **recovery** from the Great Depression began between late **1931**...





#### Transitivity (Yoshikawa et al., 2009)

• Restricted to the elements in test data



• Intra-sentence transitivity not fully exploited

# Transitivity cont.

(Verhagen et al, 2007), (Yoshikawa et al., 2009)

Restrict the relation set to
 {BEFORE, AFTER, OVERLAP}



#### **OVERLAP** is ambiguous!

Point-based relations: B OVERLAP C => A ? C

p1 < p2, p2 < p3 => p1 < p3

# Contributions

- System TIE (Temporal Information Extractor)
  - Intra-sentence transitivity
  - high level features
- Temporal Entropy

a new metric for measuring tightness of the bounds

# Outline

- Motivation
- Previous Work
- TIE
  - Architecture
  - Learning
  - Inference
- Experiments
- Conclusion





# Markov Logic Networks

- A Markov Logic Network (MLN) is a set of pairs (F<sub>i</sub>, w<sub>i</sub>) where
  - F<sub>i</sub> is a formula in first-order logic

 $-\mathbf{w}_{i}$  is a real number as the  $\gamma$ 

# of true groundings of **F**<sub>i</sub>

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(\sum_{i} w_i \cdot N_i(x)\right)$$







# Annotating Event and Time

[Verhagen et al,2005]

In most countries of the world, recovery from the Great Depression began between late 1931 and early 1933.



In most countries of the world, recovery<sup>e1</sup> from the Great Depression<sup>e2</sup> began<sup>e3</sup> between late 1931<sup>t1</sup> and early 1933<sup>t2</sup>.



# **Dependency Parsing**

[De Marneffe et al,2006]

In most countries of the world, recovery<sup>e1</sup> from the Great Depression<sup>e2</sup> began<sup>e3</sup> between late 1931<sup>t1</sup> and early 1933<sup>t2</sup>.



**Dependency:** prep\_between(began, 1931)



Feature: prep\_between(e3, t1)

**Syntactic** 

# Semantic Role Labeling

[Koomen et al,2005]

In most countries of the world, recovery<sup>e1</sup> from the Great Depression<sup>e2</sup> began between late 1931<sup>t1</sup> and early 1933<sup>t2</sup>.



In most countries of the world, recovery<sup>e1</sup> from the Great Depression<sup>e2</sup> began<sup>e3</sup> between late 1931<sup>t1</sup> and early 1933<sup>t2</sup>.



#### **Feature:** srl\_after( ${}^{\lhd}$ e3, ${}^{\lhd}$ t1), srl\_after(t2 ${}^{\triangleright}$ , e3)

# **Summary of Features**

• Event and Time attributes

- value(t1, "1933"), tense(e1, "PAST")

• Syntactic Dependency

- prep\_between(e3, t1)

• SRL Features

 $- srl_after( < e3, < t1)$ 



#### Markov Logic Networks

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))

value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))

srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1, p2)

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \lhd x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

#### dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))

value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))

#### srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \lhd x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

prep\_before( $e_1$ ,  $t_1$ ) => after( ${}^{\triangleleft}t_1$ ,  $e_1 {}^{\triangleright}$ )

#### John left<sup>e1</sup> before 6 pm<sup>t1</sup>.

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \triangleleft x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

prep\_before(e<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>1</sub>) => after( ${}^{\triangleleft}t_{1}, e_{1}^{\triangleright}$ ) prep\_before(e<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>1</sub>) => after( ${}^{\triangleleft}t_{1}, {}^{\triangleleft}e_{1}$ ) prep\_before(e<sub>1</sub>, t<sub>1</sub>) => after(t<sub>1</sub>^{\triangleright}, e\_{1}^{\triangleright})

John left<sup>e1</sup> before 6 pm<sup>t1</sup>.

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \triangleleft x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))

value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t)) value(t<sub>1</sub>, "future") ^ tense(e<sub>1</sub>, "past") => after( $\triangleleft t_1, e_1^{\triangleright}$ ) srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \triangleleft x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))

value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))

#### srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1, p2)

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \lhd x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))

value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))

srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)

point(x) 
$$\in \{ \triangleleft x, x^{\triangleright} \}$$

# MLN: Learning

- Training set: TimeBank
  - manually labeled news articles
  - 1456 pairs of temporal elements

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))
value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))
srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)
after(p1, p2) ^ after(p2, p3) => after(p1, p3)

# MLN: Learning

- Training set: TimeBank
  - manually labeled news articles
  - 1456 pairs of temporal elements

Learned weights for: dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))
value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))
srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)
after(p1,p2) ^ after(p2, p3) => after(p1, p3)

# MLN: Learning

- Training set: TimeBank
  - manually labeled news articles
  - 1456 pairs of temporal elements

dep(x,y) => after(point(x),point(y))
value(t,+v) ^ tense(e, +s) => after(point(e),point(t))
manually srl\_after(p1, p2) => after(p1,p2)
after(p1,p2) ^ after(p2, p3) => after(p1, p3)



# **MLN: Inference**

• MC-SAT (Poon et al, 2006):

marginal probabilities

over relations of all possible point pairs

– predictions

by thresholding the probabilities

# Outline

- Motivation
- Previous Work
- TIE
- Experiments
- Conclusion

# Experiments

- Dataset (From Wikipedia)
  - 45 sentences:
    - 151 events and 56 times
    - 644 point pairs in total
- Labeling all point-wise constraints
  - 2 people and a 3rd person to resolve conflicts

# **Comparison Systems**

- (Pasca, 2008): lexico-syntactic patterns
- TARSQI: hand-code rules + maxent classifier
- SRL: interpreting tmp args based on the preps

#### **Experiments – PR Curves**



# Ablation test: Transitivity [TIE-trans]



## Ablation test: SRL [TIE-srl]



12/23/2010

# Ablation test: Both [TIE-srl-trans]



PR: are they predicted?
 after(p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>), after(p<sub>3</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>), after(p<sub>2</sub>, p<sub>4</sub>), after(p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>3</sub>)

• **PR**:

after( $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_3$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_2$ ,  $p_4$ ), after( $p_1$ ,  $p_3$ )

#### **V.S.**

#### after( $p_1$ , $p_2$ ), after( $p_3$ , $p_2$ ), after( $p_2$ , $p_4$ ), after( $p_1$ , $p_3$ )

• **PR**:

after( $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_3$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_2$ ,  $p_4$ ), after( $p_1$ ,  $p_3$ )  $p_4 < p_2 < p_1$ v.s. after( $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_3$ ,  $p_2$ ), after( $p_2$ ,  $p_4$ ), after( $p_1$ ,  $p_3$ )  $p_4 < p_2 < p_3$ Which is tighter?

 $p \in [p^{L}, p^{U}]$   $1931 < \operatorname{recovery} < 1933$   $TE(p) = \log(p^{U} - p^{L})$   $TE(\operatorname{recovery}) = \log(3 \text{ years in seconds})$ 



Start and Ending points of Events

# Conclusion

- TIE
  - Input: raw text
  - **Output**: events annotated with bounds on endpoints
- Exploits transitivity & high-level features
- Outperforms alternative state-of-the-art systems
- Temporal Entropy

a new measure for tightness of the bounds

# Thanks! Questions?

#### **Future directions**

• Improve Event-Event predictions

hard to predict w/o knowing the semantics
 e.g. The meeting has been cut off for two years.
 The meeting has been running for two years.

• Inter-sentence inference

- e.g. adjacent sentence transitions, event coref, etc.